**APTA Academy of Research ~ Traveling Fellows Review Form**

**Application packet should include:**

* **4 page proposal**
	+ Significance
	+ Aims/Hypotheses
	+ Innovation
	+ Methods
	+ Anticipated Outcomes
	+ Timeline
* NIH Biosketch of applicant
* NIH Biosketch of mentor (if applicable)
* Budget (1-page)

**Scoring System:** Each criterion is scored on a 1-10 point scale, where 10 is the best and 1 is the worst. Scores for all criteria are summed to produce a composite score for each grant review.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Score** | **Descriptor** | **Additional Guidance on Strengths/Weaknesses** |
| 1 | Exceptional | Exceptionally strong with essentially no weaknesses |
| 2 | Outstanding | Extremely strong with negligible weaknesses |
| 3 | Excellent | Very strong with only some minor weaknesses |
| 4 | Very Good | Strong but with numerous minor weaknesses |
| 5 | Good | Strong but with at least one moderate weakness |
| 6 | Satisfactory | Some strengths but also some moderate weaknesses |
| 7 | Fair | Some strengths but with at least one major weakness |
| 8 | Marginal | A few strengths and a few major weaknesses |
| 9 | Poor | Very few strengths and numerous major weaknesses |

Minor weakness: Easily addressable, does not lessen overall impact

Moderate weakness: Lessens overall impact

Major weakness: Severely limits impact

**Review Criteria:** Applications are scored on the following criteria. Composite scores can range from 0 to 40.

**Application Form:** Is the purpose and expect outcome clearly stated? Is there a high likelihood that the project will be completed successfully and will contribute to clinically relevant scientific knowledge? Is the proposed budget reasonable, well justified and consistent with APTA Clinical Research Agenda? Are other sources of budgetary support identified (e.g. in-kind contributions)?

**Applicant**: Is the applicant well-suited to carry out the project? Is the proposed training or career development of high benefit to the applicant who has a high potential for developing into a productive, independent researcher?

**Mentor(s):** Is the mentor well-suited for the project? Is the mentor able to provide the necessary training and resources to assist with the success of the fellowship plan?

**Environment and Institutional Support:** Do the letters of support indicate that the applicant will have the needed support and resources such as access to facilities, equipment, potential study participants, collaborators, support personnel, release time, other budgetary support, statisticians, medical library services, an institutional review board, and other research related resources.