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Trans NIH Cognitive & Emotional Health Project

Goal – to assess the state of longitudinal and epidemiological research on demographic, social, and biological determinants of cognitive and emotional health ... to determine how these pathways reciprocally influence each other.
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Outcome Focus of the CEHP Review

- Cognitive outcomes - cognitive performance/cognitive decline
- Emotional outcomes - depressive/anxiety symptoms, mastery/resilience
Data Source for Longitudinal Studies

- NIH database of large epidemiological studies (n=80)

Final Criteria for Inclusion into the Analyses on Cognitive and Emotional Outcomes

- Sample size > 500
- Age predominantly 65 and over
- Longitudinal in design
  - At least one follow up evaluation of cognitive function, OR
  - Single evaluation of cognitive function with exposure measured a certain year prior to cognitive function
- Measurement of memory and at least one other cognitive domain

Final Criteria for Inclusion into the Analyses on Cognitive and Emotional Outcomes (cont'd)

- Measurement of depression symptoms and at least one other domain such as quality of life, sense of control, self-efficacy, resilience, hopelessness or optimism
- For emotional outcomes, cross-sectional studies were also included
Table 1. Number of Papers with various cognitive outcomes (total number of papers = 70)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive Outcomes</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3MS</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite Cognitive</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Self-Report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Verbal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSI</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Global</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Number of Papers with Emotional Outcomes (total number of papers = 34)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original Outcome Measures in the DB</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>61.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphoria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Depression</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastery</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Affect</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic Attack(s)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective/Emotional Well Being</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions from CEHP Review

- Widespread public interest
- A different set or combination of risk factors (or different emphases) may be identified than those from illness-focused research
- Research in brain health maintenance should be pursued with vigor
- Health Promotion and Disease Prevention are complimentary
- Cognitive and Emotional health must be studied simultaneously

Suggestions from CEHP Review

1. Secondary Analysis
   - Funding
   - Access to data – manageable format
   - Combined analysis – harmonization
   - Support structure
   - Ongoing analysis of published data
   - Combined cognitive and emotional outcomes

2. Development of standard questionnaires
   - Formation of expert committee
   - Formation of research team to test reliability and validity
Suggested from CEHP Review

6. Prevention trials
   • Addition of cognitive and emotional outcomes to ongoing trials

7. Changing the paradigm
   • Biomedical with social scientists, bioethics and others
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Measurement Characteristics

• Comprehensive
• Administration and length
• Sensitive to detecting change over time
• Measurement across the life span
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Toolbox as an outcome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health related outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Toolbox as a risk factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health related outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Potential Uses of the Toolbox

- Add dimensions to ongoing large cohort health-related studies both for risk/modifying factors and for outcomes
- Add dimension to ongoing prevention trials
- Complement studies of cognition/emotion
- Allow comparisons between studies
- Encourage the use of ongoing systematic reviews

NIH Toolbox
Assessment of Neurological and Behavioral Function
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